


5th ICO / STO Report

A Strategic Perspective

Summer 2019 Edition

In collaboration with:





Executive Summary

ICO / STO Report Summer 2019 Edition – Global Status-Quo

Overall positive Development of Global Crypto Markets	•	After an overall weak 2018 for the crypto market ("Crypto Winter"), the sideways trend continued until beginning of Feb 2019, resulting in a low point of ca. USD 110 bn total market capitalization by 6 th Feb 2019 Supported by the progressive clarification of regulatory landscape ¹) paired with renewed interest in cryptocurrencies by institutional investors and established corporations ²), the crypto market has been significantly recovering until end of May (total market cap: ca. USD 270 bn), indicating an end of the "Crypto Winter" Bitcoin, the world's largest digital asset in terms of market cap, regained more than 120% throughout first five months in 2019 reaching a price of a USD 8 ² 500 bm 2 ⁴ th Mar 2010
ICO/STO/IEO Facts & Figures		ca. USD 8'590 by 31 th May 2019 Throughout first five months in 2019, more than 250 token offerings have been successfully completed, managing to raise in total ca. USD 3.3 bn Largest conducted crypto offerings were Bitfinex (USD 1 bn) and GCBIB (USD 143 mn), jointly accounting 35% of total raised funding volume throughout the first five months of this year
Emergence of Initial Exchange Offerings	•	Initial Exchange Offering ("IEO") is a new format for fundraising in the Crypto Finance ecosystem, by which an ICO / STO is basically conducted on one or multiple platforms of crypto exchanges Concept of IEOs was initially introduced in 2017, but has not been able to significantly establish itself until earlier this year Since then, development of this new crypto fundraising method has been strongly accelerating in terms of completed offerings and funding volume
Underlying Infrastructure Trends		The innovation of IEO emphasizes a higher institutionalization and credibility of large crypto exchanges around the world as cornerstones of the global Crypto Finance infrastructure – and may also be seen as a response to established exchanges moving into crypto In this context, crypto exchanges continue to establish and use recovery funds focusing on hedging against potential hacks (e.g. Binance's Secure Asset Fund for Users (SAFU) covering hacking losses amounting to USD 41mn in May 2019, or the response by Bitfinex in a similar case)
Progressing Regulatory Framework		Globally, regulators and legislators continue to further sharpen and advance the overall regulatory framework of the Crypto Finance ecosystem In June 2019, Financial Action Task Force (FATF) introduced new comprehensive KYC/AML standards for crypto service companies (incl. crypto exchanges and wallet providers) – G20 group of nations has already assured their statutory adaption
CO / STO - A strategic pers Strategy&	spec	 for instance: initiation of digital asset-specific jurisdiction consultations in Swiss, the UK and France. for instance: Facebook and JPMorgan Chase announced plans to create new digital currencies, as did several Central Banks around the world Source: Strategy& analysis

1

In first five months 2019, over 250 token offerings have collected a total of USD 3.3bn

Definition & Characteristics

Initial Coin Offering ("ICO", also token launch or generation) is a term describing a limited period, in which a company sells a predefined number of digital tokens (coins) directly to the **public**, in exchange for cryptocurrencies or fiat currencies.

Security Token Offering ("STO") is a sale of tokens with features comparable to normal securities, i.e., fully regulated and approved within at least one jurisdiction.


Initial Exchange Offering ("IEO") is an ICO (or STO), which is exclusively conducted on the platform of a cryptocurrency exchange. IEOs are administered by the crypto exchange on behalf of the issuing company, which seeks to raise funds with its newly issued tokens.

Prospectively, ICOs / STOs / IEOs are **alternatives** to classic debt / capital-funding as performed today by Venture Capital / Private Equity firms and banks.

Token Offering Development

Year	Ø Duration (d)	Ø USD mn ¹⁾	Total #	Total volume (USD mn) ¹⁾
2013	41	0.4	2	0.8
2014	68	3.8	8	30.5
2015	32	1.0	10	9.9
2016	39	5.1	49	252
2017	29	12.8	552	7'043.3
2018	58 ²⁾	12.3 ²⁾	1'132	19'689.3
2019 YTD ³⁾	84*	13.1*	250*	3'263.0*
All	50	6.9	2'003	30'288.8

Monthly Numbers and Volume



ICO / STO - A strategic perspective Strategy&

may differ significantly from figures shown in the table. ICO funding amount until 31.05.2019 considered. 2) Not considering Telegram and EOS. 3) until May 2019. *YTD figures Source: Strategy& analysis

In 2019, Bitfinex and GCBIB have entered the top 15 of the biggest token offerings

Overview: 15 biggest token offerings overall since 2016

#	Name		Total raised amount (USD mn) ¹⁾	End of offering (month)	Focus	Industry	Country
1	EOS ²⁾		4'100	06.2018	Blockchain infrastructure for decentralized apps	BC infrastructure	Cayman Islands
2	Telegram		1'700	03.2018	Tokens to enhance messenger ecosystem	Social media	British Virgin Islands
3	Bitfinex ³⁾	NEW	1'000	05.2019	Tokens for fee discounts in the iFinex ecosystem	FinTech	Hong Kong
4	TaTaTu		575	06.2018	Social entertainment on the Blockchain	Entertainment	Cayman Islands
5	Dragon		320	03.2018	Decentralized currency for casinos	Gambling	British Virgin Islands
6	Huobi Token		300	02.2018	Token/ Coin for South Korean crypto exchange	FinTech	Singapore
7	HDAC		258	12.2017	IOT platform backed by Hyundai BS&C	Internet of things	Switzerland
8	Filecoin		257	09.2017	Decentralized market for data storage	Data storage	USA
9	Tezos		232	07.2017	Blockchain infrastructure for decentralized apps	BC infrastructure	Switzerland
10	Sirin Labs		158	12.2017	Secure open source consumer electronics	Consumer electronics	Switzerland
11	Bancor		153	06.2017	Enabling direct conversion between tokens	FinTech	Switzerland
12	Bankera		151	03.2018	Banking for the Blockchain era	FinTech	Lithuania
13	Polkadot		145	10.2017	Interoperability protocol across multiple Blockchains	BC infrastructure	Switzerland
14	GCBIB	NEW	143	01.2019	Multi-asset digital wallet	FinTech	United Arab Emirates
15	The DAO		143	05.2016	Decentralized autonomous organization	Venture Capital	Switzerland

not live anymore

ICO / STO - A strategic perspective Strategy&

1) Calculations based on currency exchange rates on end date of ICO. As Ether and Bitcoin exchange rates are highly volatile, actual and current market capitalization of the companies today may differ significantly from figures shown in the table. ICO funding amount until 31.05.2019 considered. 2) EOS conducted a two-phased ICO. In the 1st phase (5 days in June 2017), USD 185mn were raised. The second phase lasted 350 days ending in June 2018. 3) no official confirmation of the raised funding amount yet published. Source: Strategy& analysis

Summer 2019 Edition

Initial Exchange Offerings are ICOs (or STOs) exclusively launched on a cryptocurrency exchange

"IEOs in a nutshell"

Δ	
	6

Initial Exchange Offering (IEO): ICO exclusively launched on a cryptocurrency exchange. If an IEO has a security character, it can also be considered as STO.

Key Characteristics

Potential quality mark: Reputable large global exchanges can provide IEOs with a "trust stamp", attracting more, already-on-boarded investors





Higher cybersecurity: Established exchanges can provide higher security standards (e.g. 2FA, key management) than new start-ups; however, cybersecurity risks still remain in any case



 \rightarrow

Increased liquidity: As tokens get automatically listed on the conducting exchange after the IEO, this leads ideally to increased liquidity after launch





IEO, the new vehicle for Blockchain-based funding, offers a convenient alternative for coin / token launches

Impact analysis of IEO



Token issuing company

- Leverage an established platform (exchange) for marketing activities and directly addressing target audience (crypto-affine investors)
- Delegate management of funding process, incl. cybersecurity and KYC/AML, high dependency on exchange for success
- Leverage exchange's brand to "certify" legitimacy / potential of project
- Focus on core capabilities, e.g. technology development, product rollout

Outsource funding process



Investors

- Go through KYC/AML process only once on their preferred exchange instead of multiple KYC processes for each ICO/STO
- Trust more in legitimacy of exchange and its vetting activities as an intermediary than single token issuing companies
- Can trade tokens directly after IEO is over with higher liquidity, which may attract a broader range of investors

Improved UX & trust



Exchanges

- Expand own role in ecosystem as they can "legitimize" and support good projects/ startups by listing them
- Add new revenue source with only few additional marginal costs, as platform and user base can be leveraged
- Advance from pure trading / exchange business into more complex business models, e.g., issuing of securities
- Engage into more active dialogue with relevant regulators & competent authorities

Expand own role in ecosystem & broaden revenue sources



Regulators

- Focus of regulatory activities on single centralized exchanges, enabling quick establishment and control of rules and guidance
- Can create stronger trust for investors and community by approving certain exchange platforms and their processes for token offerings
- Faster shift and transition from unregulated "grey zones" to clear regulation in crypto space

More centralized regulation

ICO / STO - A strategic perspective Strategy& Summer 2019 Edition


The three Blockchain-based crowdfunding instruments differ in a number of ways

Funding instruments: crypto fundraising vs. traditional fundraising

	Initial Coin Offering (ICO)	Initial Exchange Offering (IEO)	Security Token Offering (STO)	Traditional Fundraising (VC/PE and IPOs)
	Public utility token; cryptocurrency offerings – before 2018 most token offerings were ICOs	Launch of any form of token/ cryptocurrency on specific exchange – popular mainly as of 2019	Launch of Security tokens (publicly tradable) typically linked to specific jurisdictions – <i>popular as of 2018</i>	VC/PE: Private share transaction IPO: Formal share issuance on specific exchange
ہُن Investor participation	Global participation possible, low entry barriers (e.g. fractional ownership of tokens)	Global participation potentially possible, but depending on exchange governance and user base	Large participation possible, low entry barriers (e.g. fractional ownership)	Access only for qualified investors; public participation in IPOs via banks and Brokerage firms
Capital formation	High cost efficiency (e.g. due to automated processes)	Cost efficient and scalable as exchange can leverage established investor relationships & data	Much better cost efficiency vs. traditional securities issuance	Complex securities regulation with high associated regulatory compliance cost
Regulation	Regulation still unclear in many jurisdictions	Regulation based on local and international rules (incl. KYC/AML) applicable for exchange	Regulations based on local security laws (incl. KYC/AML), jurisdiction of STO company relevant	Full regulatory compliance based on local and international security laws (incl. KYC/AML, prospectus, etc.)
Token application & liquidity	Various services & usage opportunities depending on typo of token; liquidity based on secondary markets	Usage may vary depending on token type issued; liquidity in primary & secondary market	Full proof of ownership & application of corresponding features & rights; liquidity provided by STO-enabled exchanges	Share ownership via established and regulated custodian network (banks, notaries, etc.)
lnvestor rights	No associated investor rights, however, community may enforce investor rights	Legally binding investor rights (e.g. ownership rights, voting rights, dividend rights) only for security tokens	Legally binding investor rights (e.g. ownership rights, voting rights, dividend rights) guaranteed by instrument type	Legally binding investor rights (e.g. ownership rights, voting rights, dividend rights); enforced by regulators
Vetting / Due Diligence	If done at all, then by broader community around ICO company	Done in full responsibility by listing exchange; in case of STO local regulator(s) will also scrutinize	Vetting provided by relevant regulators and typically 3 rd parties (e.g. audit firm)	Full fledged due diligence process part of VC/PE or IPO process, supported by 3 rd party firms (e.g. auditors, banks)
	Token based funding instrument in its "raw" form	ICO on a crypto exchange	Stronger regulated form of ICO & tokens qualify as securities	Traditional, fully regulated funding based on shares

Since the beginning of 2019, adoption of IEOs has been strongly accelerating with >USD 1 bn raised

Development of STOs and IEOs



- First IEOs already took place in 2017 (e.g. Bread, Gifto) nonetheless, this fundraising method did not significantly capture market share until early 2019
- Binance pioneered this crowdfunding method by establishing the Binance Launchpad, other crypto exchanges also developed their own IEO platforms (e.g. Huobi Prime, Probit Launchpad)
- Since the beginning of 2019, the development of IEO (in terms of completed offerings and aggregate funding volume) strongly accelerated

Security Token Offering (STO) STO funding BoltonCoin STO: USD 68 mn volume (USD mn) # STO 143 150 135 6 5 100 44 50 22 13 02.2018 03.2018 05.2018 06.2018 08.2018 09.2018 0.2018 1.2018 01.2019 02.2019 2019 2018 2018 2019 2019 01.2018 07.2018 ц С Ю. 05. 2. 4.

- After launch of first STOs in 2017, figures grew strongly to approximately USD 442mn in total funding volume by end of 2018
- From Jan until May 2019, positive development of STOs continued and is expected to carry on throughout 2019 and 2020 – assuming regulation of STOs strengthens and more large, regulated exchanges support STOs
- In 2019, biggest launched STO was BoltonCoin, raising approximately USD 68mn

Cybersecurity, key custody, KYC/AML and capital requirements are key themes in today's Crypto Finance ecosystem

Relevant Topics in Crypto Finance



Cybersecurity

- Risk to lose money or confidential data during ICO process – enabled through existing system vulnerabilities and high complexity
- Vulnerabilities may exist in the underlying smart contract or web / mobile applications allowing hackers to attack either ICO company or investors
- Recent cases of large losses caused by cyber attacks (e.g. Binance lost ca. USD 40 mn – user funds were recovered)



KYC/AML regulation

- Along with progressive institutionalization of the Crypto Finance sector, the regulatory framework continues to be considerably tightened and further developed
- Amongst others, in June 2019, the Financial Action Task Force (FATF) imposed new strict global KYC/AML standards for crypto currency companies (i.e. crypto exchanges and wallet providers)¹⁾



- In the light of increasing fraud and cyber attacks, but also people simply losing access to their funds, key custody solutions gain more and more attention and relevance
- Central banks, banks, regulators and start-ups are joining forces to build secure, trustworthy and convenient solutions around the world
- Key ceremonies are becoming overly symbolized events with high attention by investors and media




Capital requirements

- Current discussions about capital requirements ongoing across the globe
- Potential higher capital requirements expected for on-balance crypto currencies, i.e. kept in own trading or banking book
- Finalized and committed global standards not yet available, which may lead to regulatory arbitrate and uneven level-playingfield

NOT EXHAUSTIVE

Recent events highlight the need for improved cybersecurity in Blockchain in general, and for ICO / STOs in particular

Security measures to mitigate risks around token offerings



Crypto custody (the "wallet")

Public Blockchains promise to enable everybody to "be their own bank", however proper secure storage of crypto assets is **complex** and requires carefull balance of the trade-offs between **convenience and security**

Crypto custody solutions **protect the private keys** for crypto assets, initiating transactions and smart contracts. None of the solutions available today are **easy to use** and **satisfy highest audit standards**

Providers of **crypto custody solutions** and **Blockchain wallets** need to answer how they:

- □ Securely generate & store the private key to prevent it from being stolen
- Ensure sufficient randomness to prevent the private key from being guessed
- Keep secure back-ups and ensure business continuity to avoid loss of the key, resulting in loss of access to the crypto assets
- Prevent unauthorized people from initiating transactions

We observe a **move** across the **industry** to increase security with new technologies such as **threshold signatures**, and to **increase** the **trust** with **SOC 2 & ISAE 3402** control reporting audits.

Binance hack puts the all-time total sum stolen from cryptocurrency exchanges over USD 1.35 bn; 59% coming from 2018. (9th May 2019)



Smart Contracts ("DApps")

Smart contracts handle the **fundraiser** on the Blockchain, the **issuance** and on-going management of the coins, and **decentralized applications** (dapps).

Developers of smart contracts should:

- Build automated code scans into their continuous integration pipeline, e.g. <u>https://securify.ch/</u>
- Commission **independent code reviews** by skilled researchers and code auditors for major releases
- Implement formalized software development & testing controls, including sufficient segregation of duties and sign-off before going live

More and more Blockchains support **formal verification** in their smart contract platform natively, e.g. Tezos. For Solidity on Ethereum, formal verification needs to be part of the **auditors toolkit** when they assess the smart contract against the specification.

SpankChain loses USD 40'000 in hack due to Smart Contract bug. (09th October 2018)



Blockchain Technology

Consensus algorithms, **replication** and **cryptographic** routines are at the heart of Blockchain technologies, and hacks and **mistakes affect everybody** on the network.

When selecting a Blockchain to issue the ICO / STO and to run the future product, project teams need to:

- □ Assess **robustness of consensus algorithm** and its implementation in the source code
- Monitor & respond to changes in the resilience against double spend attacks
- Insist on thorough software development controls including sufficient peer reviews of critical parts of the code

Having **real time monitoring** and **compliance** feeds from Blockchain networks is becoming a requirement for participation in the ecosystem.

Coinbase: Ethereum classic double spending involved more than USD 1.1 mn in Crypto. (08th January 2019)

We offer a One Firm, Strategy to Execution Client Experience and Offering – across the globe and in all relevant markets

For further insights, please contact the authors of this report



Steve Davies Global Blockchain Leader

steve.t.davies@ pwc.com



Daniel Diemers Blockchain Lead EMEA

daniel.diemers@ strategyand.pwc.com



Henri Arslanian FinTech & Crypto Lead Asia

henri.arslanian@ hk.pwc.com



Günther Dobrauz Leader PwC Legal Switzerland

guenther.dobrauz@ ch.pwc.com



Lukas Wohlgemuth Digital Strategist Switzerland

lukas.wohlgemuth@ strategyand.ch.pwc.com



Axel von Perfall Blockchain Lead Germany & Benelux

axel.von.perfall@ pwc.com



Henrik Olsson Blockchain Lead Nordics

henrik.olsson@ pwc.com



John Shipman Blockchain Lead Australasia john.shipman@

pwc.com



Markus Perdrizat Cybersecurity Expert Switzerland

markus.perdrizat@ ch.pwc.com



Strategy **Project Management Issuing Entity Tax** Personal Tax **Accounting Advisory Code & Security Review Governance / Controls** Legal Services Deals **Technology Development**

ICO / STO - A strategic perspective Strategy&

The authors would also like to thank Jonas Heydasch, Eva Oberholzer and Raphael Ebeling for their important contributions towards this report.



strategyand.pwc.com

© 2019 PwC. All rights reserved.

PwC refers to the PwC network and/or one or more of its member firms, each of which is a separate legal entity. Please see pwc.com/structure for further details. **Disclaimer:** This content is general information purposes only, and should not be used as a substitute for consultation with professional advisors.